2018/06/07 Start: 15:35 UTC End: 16:11 UTC

Participants: Eric Loos, Matt Griswold, Steve McManus, Arnold Nipper, Job Snijders

## Agenda:

- Minutes of last call: review & approve looks good
- Follow up on action points: status
  - o Action to review todo list and update it
- Hot-seat: approval of wording of description as can be seen at https://eloos.github.io/docs/committee/product/#workflow
  - Looks good to everyone, will roll it out
- #313 review with 20C
  - No definitive solution:
    - https://github.com/peeringdb/peeringdb/issues/38
    - https://github.com/peeringdb/peeringdb/issues/121
  - Should probably be an epic, but it's just separate issues pasted in a ticket: <a href="https://github.com/peeringdb/peeringdb/issues/305">https://github.com/peeringdb/peeringdb/issues/305</a>
  - Missing information:
    - https://github.com/peeringdb/peeringdb/issues/265
    - https://github.com/peeringdb/peeringdb/issues/303
    - https://github.com/peeringdb/peeringdb/issues/304
  - Matt to share with everyone and work through issues in tickets
- Facility merge tool already done and in beta.
  - Admin com facing for now. Should make sure it doesn't affect anything user facing
  - Let's roll it out in next release
- Translations
  - Portuguese is live!
  - More translation requests than we expected (awesome!)
  - Need to figure out a way to roll them in and test them safely
    - Want versioning per language and separate release of code from release of translation config
    - Want some way for peer review of translations before they go live
      - Job has some ideas on software that might help with this, will share with PCOM
  - AUP translations
    - Keep in English, exclude from translations.
    - Should only be translated by counsel!
    - Matt has ticket to lock down that section so it can't be translated
  - <a href="https://github.com/peeringdb/peeringdb/issues/184#issuecomment-351896494">https://github.com/peeringdb/peeringdb/issues/184#issuecomment-351896494</a>
    shows some potential additional languages to focus on next.
    - Let's wait for 1-2 months and if flurry of activity dies down, take up something to get more volunteers. Review in 2 calls

- Open sourcing status
  - No updates
- Vision & Mission, what do we want to be for whom? How do we decide on this?
  - Solicit feedback from PCOM on what our roadmap should be what do we want peeringdb to look like in the next 2 years?
  - Eric to send roadmap ask for what they want plus reminder 1 week before next call, so people have tangible stuff to talk about in call.
  - Let's make this a yearly exercise that looks 2 years out
- Hiring part/full time resource for PCOM?
  - o Idea is for professional product management, not project/program management.
  - Eric to type up scope/job description in more detail with PCOM and get feedback
- Feedback from PCOM to board? None