

2019/05/02 Start: 15:32 UTC **End:** 16:30 UTC

Participants: Eric Loos, Arnold Nipper, Filiz Yilmaz, Matt Griswold, Steve McManus, Florian Hibler, Greg Hankins, Job Snijders, Patrick Gilmore, Shawna Bong, Aaron Hughes, Bijal Sanghani

Agenda:

- Minutes of the last call: status
- Follow up on action points: status
- Presentation by Filiz on her view on the way forward (30min)
 - Vision statement proposal
 - Vision statement looks good, but can we expand it to be more inclusive of facilities and other organizations, not just networks?
 - Questions about this vs a mission statement from the board.
 - Board has a mission statement, as long as PC vision statement is aligned with that, that's fine
 - Spinning up a Task Force - Probably not needed. The participation being consistently less than 100% will naturally result in a subset of PC people being able to work on a given set of issues
- Discussion on issue [#480](#) (Arnold)
 - If we mandate that IP information must be present, that's not very inclusive.
 - Seems reasonable to say "SHOULD" for both IPv4 and IPv6
- Definition of an IXP
 - Several think we need one so we can clearly point to the policy when we approve or deny new IX entries in PeeringDB.
 - Job and Aaron feel we shouldn't because technologies change and it's not in our purview to decide what is and isn't an IXP
 - Arnold mentions that we don't necessarily have to define what an IXP is, but should have a clear understanding of what an IXP is in our pov. And we also should make these criteria transparent to applicants and the outside world.
- Feedback from PCOM to board