

**2020/01/09 Start:** 16:33 UTC **End:** 17:17 UTC

**Participants:** Arnold Nipper, Filiz Yilmaz, Steve McManus, Matt Griswold, Shane Kerr

**Agenda:**

- January release status

Announcement went out today. Release will be on 22 Jan. So longer time for beta period than the usual.

- need to containerize ([#548](#)) before next deploy (Matt)
- [Drop IX LANs #21](#)

AC has not reached out anyone yet. AC has a template and a plan who contacts who.

IXs will need to rename their changed IX lans what will result in being new ix-s.

Delaying 21, ie not having it in Jan release will need to be checked by 20C but it

- Decision Making Process documentation:

The text (for formal proposal 4) in

[https://docs.google.com/document/d/1meNBBLyTKf4S5mlraB81hy\\_qzsPb7Hj6KP0FGzZYgek/edit](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1meNBBLyTKf4S5mlraB81hy_qzsPb7Hj6KP0FGzZYgek/edit)

is now published at <https://docs.peeringdb.com/committee/product/>

Who takes an issue from Consensus Finalized to Ready for Implementation?  
Shepherd will flag an issue Consensus Finalized but Ready for Implementation is for 20C to use.

We have two ways of flagging what is In a Quote vs Support Contract:

1. Use Labels “In a Quote” and “Support Contract” on github. (Filiz does this regularly).

2. The Quoted and Support Contract issues are noted and tracked via an Excel file too:  
<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1x8pitVcz-N89AupYV3YMq9uWYvfoqODlvTpg-mYt6Hs/edit#gid=0>

If someone is in doubt about if an issue in Support contract or in a Quote check with Filiz.

- Adding new members to PC
  - Did a call for volunteers along w/ AC, had 4 interested parties so far, 3 of which can commit the time
  - Right now board approval is needed to add new members. Is that desirable?
    - Maybe we should adopt the same [rules](#) AC has? (Arnold)

PC does not feel that Board approval is needed to add new members. The text on the website is ambiguous in this regard. Steve will take it to the Stewards call and get this clarified.

So how do we want add new members to the PC?

Only criteria is that we make sure they understand the time commitment and happy to do so.

Also if we had bad experience with a particular person in the past, that can be a factor in the decision.

The Chair will check things recent candidates and will move things on that front.

- How are your shepherded issues going?

With the new system things seem to be working well.

Also Zenhub can die. Matt will take care of this.

- Ownership for issues
  - Who should be assignees?
  - How to assign issues nobody wants to grab? Currently there are 75 unassigned.

Steve will add the unassigned issues to the script that is sent to hotseats to encourage more ownership/shepherding of issues.

- Next release/quote status

585 needs a new Quote + some others that reached Consensus.

A quote request will follow in two weeks or so.

- Issues for review:

- [Consensus Reached](#) (3)
- [Consensus Finalized](#) (3)

- [Ready for Implementation](#) (30)  
Matt will go through the list and get them Quoted.
- (Only if we have time) [Operational status field #539](#)
- Any other business?