

2023-02-14 Start: 16:00 EST **End:** 17:30 EST

Participants: Marty, Grizz, Pete, Leo, Yan, Greg (Outreach Committee liaison) Patrick Gilmore (board) Chris Malayter (board), Greg Villain (guest)

Main agenda

- **Carrier Object policy**—Do we require carrier services to be mentioned on the website? Companies can legitimately sell services without advertising the fact on their corporate site, if they have one. What if they don't have one? We have had problems in the past with non-facilities requesting registration because they misunderstood and that was time consuming for the AC. There is very little value in adding an object describing a non-existent service and legitimate organizations should be able to use their PeeringDB page as their website. Agreed to make this an agenda item for the next call.
- **Campus Object**—Need to work on how to show it on the front page and in search results. Maybe show an icon next to facilities that are part of a campus. Place campus and facility objects in the same column with some separation.
- **Kentik demo**—Greg Villain shared an internal demo of how Kentik will use PeeringDB data.
- **[Network type nomenclature issue](#)**—Discussed the right approach. People understand these terms differently so could we just make this a free text field? Would people miss it if it was removed? There would probably be strong pushback against removing it but we could look at logs to see how often it is queried and check what proportion of networks leave the default.
- **Search and city names**—Users searching for facilities in some cities need to search using multiple names because cities are not named consistently. E.g. Milan -vs- Milano. Probably need to normalize the names in the database and prompt users searching for a variant about the normalized name.