PeeringDB Issues board [Google Meet] [PC Todo list] [Product Roadmap]

2025-07-03 Start: 16:30 UTC **End:** 17:30 UTC
Participants: Paul, Jack, Grizz, Jeff, Marty, Leo
Apologies: Arnold

BACKLOG OVERVIEW
PC members can click here for the an issue status and planning overview

Bugs	Not Bugs
19%	81%

GitHub Milestone Categories	Number	%
Agreed for v3 API	2	1%
Yet To Decide / to be decided	84	53%
Consensus Reached	1	1%
Consensus Finalized	0	0%
Needs Implementation Discussion	27	17%
Ready for Implementation	20	13%
Scheduled	24	15%

LAST THREE MONTHS
There is generally one release per month. Urgent bugs can be fixed with an emergency point release. There is no scheduled release during December.

Some operations work is not included in the GitHub issue summary.

Issues Closed	
Major enhancement	5
Other enhancements	5
Bugs	15
Total	25

RELEASE OVERVIEW
Next Release (June, 2.70.0)

#1574	**Major enhancement** Comparison	Initial release of a feature allowing users to compare IXPs and Facilities.
#1748	**Noteworthy minor enhancement** Social media	Support for more social media platforms.
	Noteworthy bug fix 2 search fixes	Advanced Search fixes on finding addresses and city/location searches.

Schedule for major issues

Month, version	Major feature	Narrative
August, 2.71.0	Dependency updates and complete containerization deployment	This operational change will allow PeeringDB to scale better as demand changes, improving site responsiveness
September, 2.72.0	Network IX adds & deletes are immediate, rather than taking effect on Save	The current situation violates users' UI expectations. Fixing this bug should avoid users and admins getting irritated.
October, 2.73.0		

Main agenda

- Voting, nuance, and discussions in GitHub comments. We need clarity on whether a +1 vote supports the concept, the specific proposal, or a comment making an objection. How can we add that clarity to documented discussions?
    - The risk we need to control for is a misunderstanding leading to documentation of a consensus that doesn't exist. That could lead to disagreement about a deployed feature and a need to re-work it. So, spending money on development twice.
- Search issues: should we ask the PeeringDB Board to change its offer to sponsors, so sponsor tags do not appear next to every resource a sponsor has? If not, do we need to adapt search?
    - The sponsor issue has now been resolved via #1802, which will be scheduled for rapid deployment

Number	Title	Summary	Consent Finalized?
#1767	Create a building object	An aggregator for separately owned facilities in a common building, which means they cannot get a campus object • See search discussion above.	GET A SPEC FOR REVIEW / DECISION
#1765	Make radius search for facilities easier to use and less buggy	Various UI improvements to radius search • See search discussion above.	YES
#1789	Iterate new webUI ahead of expanding to 20% of users	Rebecca is designing fixes for six snags caught during internal testing. When implemented, can we expand the reach to 20% of users? • See #1800 directly below when considering this issue.	YES
#1800	Orgs with lots of objects take a long time to render while orgs with few objects render quickly	As title. • Consider scheduling an interim fix before the bulk of the Lazy Loading work is scheduled after deployment of the new web design.	TREAT AS BUG AND FIX
#1755	New permission type for accredited user	OAuth is only available to organizations with a network object. It would be great if organizations without a network object, for instance a Carrier, could also offer OAuth logins for their users.	YES
#1797	PeeringDB Address Schema Gap Analysis vs ISO 19160	Should we align with ISO 19160 (available for purchase for CHF199)? • What is to be gained? • Are the specific gaps we ought to fill? • How much effort would be required? • How important and/or urgent are these changes?	SCHEDULE SOME RESEARCH TIME
#1796	Support setting logo per object, rather than per org	As title. See discussion on hierarchical logos in #1615 and API support in #1078. • Should we go with hierarchically inherited logos?	YES

Number	Title	Summary	Consent Finalized?
		• Should we allow per-object type logos? • Or should we do away with logos entirely?	
#1794	Add a “Peering” Contact Type in the Contact Information	Historically, the “policy” contact has been used for peering. • Should we create a new contact type? • Or would it be better to improve the documentation we have in places like this HOWTO?	DRAFTING A NEW HOWTO
#1801	Not obvious how to delete objects linked to an org	As title	YES - GET SOME DESIGN WORK DONE
#1776	Implement automated canary rollout	Should we automatically direct some users to beta? How would we ensure users know that tests of writes will be overwritten?	NOT YET

Consent Agenda

Non-contentious issues that can be agreed in a single vote. Members can ask for an issue to be placed on the main agenda if they want it to have more discussion.

Number	Title	Summary	Consent Finalized?
#1793	Implement a Contact Form to Replace Direct Committee Email Addresses in Presentations	Reduce the amount of spam going to committee lists	

Informational